THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION No.2990 of 2007

Dated:19.02.2007

Between:

Beemanapally Balwanth.

…Petitioner

and

The Deputy Collector and others.

…Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION No.2990 of 2007

ORDER:

The petitioner and his brothers claim to be legal heirs of late Madiga Narsaiah, who was allegedly a protected tenant of lands comprised in old Survey Nos.132 to 139 corresponding to new Survey Nos.144, 145, 147, 148 to 152 situated at Meerpet Village of Saroornagar Mandal in Ranga Reddy District. It appears, the first respondent by proceedings dated 03.04.1989 granted succession in favour of the legal heirs of late Madiga Narsaiah and another. However, it appears, the names of strangers, through whom the third respondent is claiming, were entered in the revenue records. Be that as it is, the petitioner submitted an application under Section 32 of the Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) Tenancy and Agricultural Lands Act, 1950, and when the same was not disposed of, the petitioner along with his family members, filed W.P.No.25384 of 2005. This Court disposed of the said Writ Petition on 08.12.2005 directing the Mandal Revenue Officer to consider and pass appropriate orders within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the said order. However, the enquiry is still pending. In the meanwhile, the petitioner made representations on 11.08.2005 and 27.01.2007 requesting to direct an enquiry into the alleged fraud committed by the Mandal Revenue Officer, Saroornagar Mandal, while granting succession in respect of the subject land. These applications are still pending. In the meanwhile, it appears, the third respondent is taking steps to raise constructions and change the nature of the land. Therefore, they filed the instant Writ Petition mainly seeking a direction to the second respondent to dispose of the two representations referred to hereinabove.

Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue (General-T) does not dispute that the applications are still pending. Therefore, it would be better if the second respondent considers those applications and passes appropriate orders after considering the request of the petitioners. This exercise shall be completed within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

The Writ Petition, with the above observations and directions, is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO, J)

19.02.2007
vs